

DeMaria, Eva

From: SUTTER Jennifer <SUTTER.Jennifer@deq.state.or.us>
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2015 8:41 AM
To: DeMaria, Eva
Subject: FW: Topsoil import material data

Thanks for the quick replay Eva. In response to the first question, see below regarding sample collection. DEQ approved this method so that EOS could make decisions on whether to use a particular mix without paying for a large volume to be mixed prior to evaluating the quality.

I'm out of the office today but can discuss further with you tomorrow. DEQ approved them using the mix described based on the data and evaluation, but we'll continue to consider the implications of the other comments provided by EPA. EOS is also looking at another source for topsoil mix because this one is relatively expensive.

Jennifer

From: Craig Heimbucher [cheimbucher@integral-corp.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2015 8:20 AM
To: SUTTER Jennifer
Subject: RE: Topsoil import material data

Jennifer,

That is correct. The sample collected on 9/14 was collected as two 5-pt composites, each from separate piles (one sandy loam and one compost). The sample was composited by the lab in the proportion (1 part compost, 4 parts sandy loam) needed to meet the physical requirements, per instruction from Strider.

Craig Heimbucher, P.E. | Senior Engineer Integral Consulting Inc. | www.integral-corp.com
319 SW Washington St. Suite 1150 | Portland, OR 97204
Tel: 503.284.5545 ext 629 | Direct: 503.943.3629 | Cell: 503.419.7949 | Fax: 503.284.5755

HEALTH ENVIRONMENT TECHNOLOGY SUSTAINABILITY

-----Original Message-----

From: SUTTER Jennifer [mailto:SUTTER.Jennifer@deq.state.or.us]
Sent: Wednesday, October 14, 2015 8:04 AM
To: Craig Heimbucher
Subject: RE: Topsoil import material data

Craig

Was the sample actually collected from premixed material or was the sample collected as previously described - a 5 point composite from each of the materials used combined in the proportion needed to meet physical characteristics?

Jennifer
